



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,799	05/25/2001	Lincoln Rodon	41235-066USPT	4515

7590 03/17/2004

Daniel G. Nguyen  
Jenkins & Gilchrist  
A Professional Corporation  
1100 Louisiana, Suite 1800  
Houston, TX 77002

EXAMINER

O CONNOR, GERALD J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3627

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/865,799

Applicant(s)

Rodon

Examiner

O'Connor

Art Unit

3627



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) O'Connor

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Nguyen (Reg. No. 42,933)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview March 15, 2004

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 6-10

Identification of prior art discussed:

Ahlstrom et al. (US 4,862,357)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed breadth/scope of claims relative to the "threshold" element, as well as the nature of potential amendments to overcome the "threshold" disclosed in the applied prior art (threshold of the number/value of each score value displayed vs. threshold of the number/value of the quantity of score value results displayed).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

GERALD O'CONNOR  
PATENT EXAMINER

 (3-15-04)

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.